In the Indiana Supreme Court

IN THE MATTER OF)	
)	Case No. 98S00-0604-DI-140
PAUL GREGORY CROUSHORE)	

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On April 20, 2006, the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission filed its *Verified Notice of Foreign Discipline and Petition for Issuance of an Order to Show Cause*, wherein it is demonstrated that Paul Gregory Croushore, the respondent herein, an attorney admitted to the practice of law in the State of Indiana and the State of Ohio, was suspended from the practice of law in the State of Ohio for a period of twelve (12) months, all stayed subject to compliance with probationary conditions over a period of two (2) years, effective February 15, 2006.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission and the respondent, Paul Gregory Croushore, shall show cause in writing within thirty (30) days of the date of receipt of service of this order why the imposition of identical discipline in Indiana would be unwarranted. Pursuant to Ind. Admis. Disc. R. 23 § 28(d), the final order of discipline of the Supreme Court of Ohio establishes conclusively the respondent's misconduct for purposes of this disciplinary proceeding. Pursuant to Admis. Disc. R. 23 § 28(c), any party appearing in writing to show cause why a different sanction should be ordered must demonstrate upon the face of the record in Ohio that:

- 1. The procedure was so lacking in notice or opportunity to be heard as to constitute a deprivation of due process;
- 2. There was such infirmity of proof establishing the misconduct as to give rise to the clear conviction that this Court could not, consistent with its duty, accept as final the conclusion on that subject;
- 3. The imposition of the same discipline by this Court would be inconsistent with standards governing sanctions in Indiana or would result in grave injustice; or
- 4. The misconduct established warrants substantially different discipline in Indiana.

The Clerk of this Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon the Executive Secretary of the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission, and by certified U.S. mail, return receipt requested, upon the respondent, Paul Gregory Croushore, at their respective addresses appearing in the official roll of attorneys.

DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana, this _____ day of April, 2006.

Randall T. Shepard

Chief Justice of Indiana